The Crystal Structure of Dibenzoyl Peroxide and the Dihedral Angle in Covalent Peroxides

BY M. SAX AND R. K. MCMULLAN

The Crystallography Laboratory, The University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213, U.S.A.

(Received 14 March 1966)

The crystals of dibenzoyl peroxide, $C_{14}H_{10}O_4$, have orthorhombic symmetry, space group $P_{21}2_{12}$, and the unit cell, with dimensions a=8.95, b=14.24, c=9.40 Å, contains four molecules. The crystal structure has been determined by an analysis of three-dimensional X-ray data, which were recorded photographically at 25 °C. The atomic coordinates were derived from the Patterson function and were refined anisotropically by the full-matrix least-squares method. The dihedral angle at the peroxide bond is 91°, which is the structural feature of principal chemical interest. The two phenyl groups of the molecule lie in planes which intersect at an angle of 84° along a line nearly parallel with the O-O bond. The length of the O-O bond is 1.46 Å ± 0.015 Å. In the two phenyl carboxyl groups, the average values of the bond angles and distances are the expected ones, within the standard deviations of 1.5° and 0.018 Å. A quantum chemical discussion of the dihedral angle in covalent peroxides is presented which assumes sp^3 hybridization for the bond-forming orbitals of oxygen and takes into account the chemical nature of the substituent groups. A value of 94° is predicted for the dihedral angle in dibenzoyl peroxide.

Introduction

The crystal structure analysis of dibenzoyl peroxide was carried out because specific knowledge concerning the dihedral angle at the peroxide bond and the relative orientation of the acyl groups in this class of compound is of some importance to organic and theoretical chemistry. The detailed molecular structure of a diacyl peroxide had not been recorded previously in the literature. Penney & Sutherland (1934) in the first theoretical discussion of the conformation of molecular peroxide pointed out that if the lone-pair electrons on the adjacent oxygen atoms of H_2O_2 are assumed to be in p orbitals, the exchange repulsion forces are least when the dihedral angle is 90°, since the overlap integral between the orbitals vanishes. When electrostatic and dispersion forces are included, the minimum in the energy is estimated to occur at 100°. This work has since stimulated considerable interest in this dihedral angle. Most recently, the coefficients in a three-term potential function for the rotational barrier were determined from an analysis of the far infrared spectrum of gaseous hydrogen peroxide (Hunt, 1965). The minimum in this potential function occurs at 111.5°. From various single-crystal analyses the molecular angle of skew in the solid state has been observed to vary from 90 to 180°. The skewed form was always found, with the single exception of the crystal structure of $Na_2C_2O_4$. H_2O_2 (Pedersen, 1963). The broad range of observed values is a consequence of steric requirements for the formation of strong intermolecular hydrogen-bonded chains, which are surely a larger factor in determining the dihedral angle in the solid state than the exchange repulsion energy in the peroxy group. In o-nitroperoxybenzoic acid (Sax, Beurskens & Chu, 1965) and peroxypelargonic acid (Belitskus

& Jeffrey, 1965), the dihedral angles are 146 and 132° respectively, and in both crystal structures the hydrogen atom of the peroxycarboxyl group participates in hydrogen bonding. Evidently, crystal structures in which this angle is influenced by hydrogen bonding are not best suited for demonstrating the effect of the lonepair electrons on the molecular stereochemistry. It seems reasonable to assume that only in crystals without hydrogen bonded peroxide groups will there occur, if at all, molecules in which the substituents on the peroxide group are involved in intermolecular interactions sufficiently weak that the exchange repulsions between lone-pair electrons on adjacent oxygen atoms are the major influence governing the dihedral angle. If this is indeed the case, the angles observed in such crystal structures will fall within a narrow range about a characteristic value. Dibenzoyl peroxide is one such crystal structure, where the molecules are situated in an environment subject to van der Waals type interactions only.

Crystal data

- Benzoyl peroxide, C₁₄H₁₀O₄, M.W. 242·22, m.p. 103·5°C,
- Orthorhombic, with $a=8.95\pm0.01$, $b=14.24\pm0.01$, $c=9.40\pm0.02$ Å, V=1210 Å³, $D_m=1.33$ g.cm⁻³, Z=4, $D_x=1.34$ g.cm⁻³. Space group, $P2_12_12_1$, from the extinctions h00 absent for h odd, 0k0 absent for k odd, and 00l absent for l odd.

Experimental

The crystals of dibenzoyl peroxide were supplied to us by Dr L. Silbert of the Eastern Regional Laboratories, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Cell dimensions were measured on the General Electric XRD-3 diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. The three-dimensional intensity data were recorded at room temperature on multifilm equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. Layers 0 to 9 and 0 to 5 were collected about the b and c axes, respectively, with exposure times ranging from 46 to 97 hours. Photographs taken at 25° C and -100° C showed diffuse scattering which probably indicates both thermal motion and statistical disorder. Only 863 of the 1611 reflections within the limiting sphere could be recorded at 25°C and 101 of these were unobserved above the background. The intensities were estimated visually by comparison with a standard scale. Angle and spotshape corrections were applied but none were made for absorption or extinction. The interlayer scaling factors were determined by a least-squares method (Rollett & Sparks, 1960), in which the observed intensities were weighted in accordance with the accuracy of the part of the visual scale in which the intensity estimation was made.

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors for dibenzoyl peroxide

The running index k is listed at the left in each group of reflections having common h and l indices. The other columns are as they follow the k index from left to right: $10F_o$, $10|F_c|$, $10A_c$ and $10B_c$. Those reflections marked with an asterisk were omitted from the least-squares refinement.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 35 & 33 \\ 24 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 34 & 51 & 34 \\ 75 & 79 & 31 \\ 31 & 37 & 1 \\ 38 & 7 & 1 \\ 131 & 37 & 1 \\ 38 & 7 & 1 \\ 39 & 7 & 1 \\ 39 & 7 & 1 \\ 39 & 7 & 1 \\ 30 & 27 & 28 \\ 24 & 33 & 29 \\ 31 & 32 & 13 \\ 27 & 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 28 & 27 & 28 \\ 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 27 & 28 & 24 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 28 & 27 & 7 \\ 20 & 10 & 10 \\ 27 & 10 & 10 \\ 28 & 10 & 10 \\ 2$	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 117\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	63 64 64 161 52 42 17 17 17 17 17 10 17 17 10 17 17 10 18 10 17 19 10 17 11 10 17 11 112 10 112 112 10 112 112 10 112 112 10 112 112 10 112 112 10 112 120 40- 112 127 40 112 130 32- 112 130 32- 113 130 32- 114 130 32- 115 134 33- 116 110 32- 116 110 32- 116 110 32- 116 <th>15 12 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</th>	15 12 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	130- 11 0 13 27- 14 0 13 34- 1 36- 2 59 3 77- 4 147- 5 38 6 24- 7 67- 8 91- 9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80 4 5 1 6 16 7 25- 10 9 225 10 134 11 418 12 56 14 59 15 179 16 218 31 0 4 1 11 0 4 1 10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	70 10 31 34 34 84

Table 1 (cont.)

•	103 103 44		1								1			
÷.	103 107 84-	85	10	25 22 20-	8-	11	100 105 82-	66	13	55 60 38	47-	0	60 68 0	68
	110 102 28	48-		H= 6 L= 4		2	44 41 6	40	4	83 91 23	88	1 1	51 48 1-	48-
- 4	132 146 30	142	0	198 210 210-	0	3	95 95 65-	69	5	29 25 21-	14	l i	550 55 48-	26-
5	158 175 161-	69	1.	149 156 155	<u> </u>	1.2	56 52 50	14-	12	31 34 4		11		
6	67 68 52	44-	13	47 54 37-	10	17					23-	• •	30 33 23-	24
			1 5			1 2	56 53 17-	-02	1 '	31 20 20-	15		H# 5 L# 7	
- 1	34 33 13-	30-	13	20 12 8-	9	6	34 29 28-	7	9	59 58 44	37-	0	35 5 0	5
	40 40 29-	28	5	54 53 38	37	7	75 68 8-	68	1	H= 3 L= 6		1	55 53 23-	48-
9	98 108 96	44	6	31 33 30-	14-	8	39 32 28-	15	0	87 92 92-	0	2	63 68 63	21-
10	157 185 54-	177-	1 7	26 18 0	1.8	l ā	59 51 30-		1.7	100 104 103-	N -	1 3		
11	111 133 25-	130-		17 17 11	16	1 '			1 .	100 108 103-	51-	11	20 33 21	21-
					1.2	i .			1 4	23 22 21			H= 6 L= /	
12	27 31 18-	22	Ι.	H# / L# 4		0	15 18 0	18	4	40 38 32-	20-	11	39 33 28-	17
14	33 27 0	27-	0	67 58 58-	0	1	79 82 57-	59-	5	21• 9 4-	8-	7	18 11 5	9-
15	16 10 9	5	1	61 66 33-	57-	2	73 68 36	57-	6	37 39 39-	0		He 7 1e 7	
	H= 2 L= 4		5	31 28 28	1	1.2	36 26 26	. i i -	1.5	22 20 2	20		31 . 34 . 34 -	
٥	194 205 205-	•	15	54 55 6		12			1 2				310 24 24-	
Ň			12	20 23 0	23-	2	41 34 13	30	× ۱	14 12 11	11	14	34 25 23	- <u>-</u>
	144 210 178-			30 32 32	5-	•	6/ 59 15-	57		H= 4 L= 6		3	31 10 9-	2
2	24 27 20	18-	5	30 31 30	8-		121 117 5-	116-	10	119 126 126	0		H* 8 L= 7	
3	133 149 8	149-	6	44 47 17-	43-	6	40 33 32-	4-	1 2	30 25 26-	6-	1	21 22 22	1-
4	158 181 124	128-	8	33 30 7-	30-	-	He 5 1 . 5		15	17 11 7	31	· ·		-
6	92 80 80-		-			1 .	12 22 0		1.1			۰.		
1	72 60 80-						32 22 0	22-	۰ ا	** ** 29-	34	0	128 119 119	3
•	8/ 90 61-	74-	U U	40 43 43-	9	1	44 33 0	33	6	39 43 25	35	4	34 28 28	3
'	43 39 17-	33	1	38 35 33-	12-	2	89 87 60-	62-	17	37 38 25	28-	17	28 28 0	28-
9	133 133 127	40	2	25 22 22	0	3	43 40 37	16-	18	44 40 38-	13		H= 1 1= 8	
10	151 161 139	80	3	18 23 7	21	١š.	36 39 3-	19-	1	H# 5 1 # 6	•••	6	51 45 44	•
	49 79 0	70-	Ĩ	20 22 22-		1.1	20 25 1		1		•	1 .		
::			1.2		2	1 2	47 43 4		1 2	200 200	2		22 24 38	29
12	30* 11 /	9-	• •	18 21 19	9	17	86 85 19-	82-	1	67 65 64-	7	14	32 26 23-	13-
13	30 21 8	19	1	H= 9 L= 4		18	50 41 34	24	15	55 54 50-	21-	1 5	31 15 8-	12-
14	25 20 16-	12-	0	22 17 17	0		H= 6 L= 5		6	25 18 15	10		H= 2 L= 8	
15	30 22 20-		-	H+ 1 1 + 5	•	۰ ا	41 11 6		1.	20. 2			77 77 77	•
••		•	•			1 ×			1 '	200 / 0		I č	11 11 11-	
			1	11 18 0	78-	1 1	40 101 73-	64	1 -	H# 0 L# 0			58 58 49	31
0	408 314 314-	0	2	85 81 81	0	2	40 31 22-	22	0	60 61 61-	0	4	32 23 20	12-
1	195 218 216	28-	3	282 * 362 J	362-	3	40 30 20	22	1 1	78 79 41	67	9	39+ 46 42-	16
2	49 52 37-	37-	4	118 121 121-	0	4	27 22 22	0	1 .	38 38 25-	28		He 3 La A	-
Š.,	63 62 31	53		62 66 3	44-			-	1.	10 11 1			177 130 130-	
2	160 160 116	60.	1	10 14 14-		11			۳.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,		12, 130 130-	
	1.57 1.48 1.55		<u> </u>	40 36 36-		l ?	20 20 33		ι.	H. / L. 6		1.1	4 1 3	
•	60 52 13	51	7	55 55 0	55-	17	67 55 21-	51	1 1	68 67 67	2	6	28 33 9	32-
6	65 57 5	57	8	26 13 13-	0	8	54 58 24	53-	E 2	30 33 32-	8	i –	H= 4 L= 8	
7	63 66 20	62-	9	57 47 0	47		H= 7 L= 5		1.3	340 5 3-	4	6 0	47 49 49-	0
R	46 46 3-	46	10	110 127 127	0	6	45 46 0	44-	-	N. 0 1 - 4		1.	44 38 37-	- 24-
č			1::		200	I č				H- 0 - 0			40 30 21-	20-
	04 00 11			114 534 3	204	1	/4 /1 05	21	9	220 3 3	.0	•	42 35 31-	17-
10	173 191 134	135		H= 1 L= 5		2	40 39 38-	2	1	32 26 22-	12-		H= 5 L= 8	
11	36• 6 6-	1-	0	86 193 0	103	13	26+ 11 6	9	[H= 0 L= 7		0	34 36 36	2
12	19 16 1	16	1	96 96 92-	28-	I A	16 26 1-	24-	١.	24 17 0	17	l i	230 23 14-	18-
11	24 20 19-		5	50 47 7	47-	۰.			1.2	46 47 47-		· ·	H- 4 1 - 9	••
12								-	12	12 12-		ι.	H- 0 L- 8	-
14	43 48 40-	21	د	163 175 113	133-	1 9	18+ 2 0	2	•	27 30 0	30	11	22+ 21 20-	2-
	H= 4 L= 4		4	126 151 141	53-	1	36+ 32 31	7-	17	36 30 0	30-		H= 7 L= 8	
0	447 513 513	0	5	45 41 17	37-	2	38 39 20-	33	9	69 75 0	75-	1 0	33 26 26-	2
1	116 114 28	110-	6	32 28 20	19	1	H= 0 L= 6		I I	H= 1 L= 7		3	21 1 1-	ĩ
ż	41 41 41-	1-	7	85 100 84	54-	٥	120 124 124	0	0	38 33 0	11-	1	H. 0 1. 0	•
	16 14 24-			17 12 0-	11	Ιĭ	54 53 0	61.	I ĭ	10 17 1		۰,	36 40 9	4.0
2			1 8			1 1			1 :	30 37 36		1 1		-0-
	93 87 49	12-	9	67 68 40	>6	2	41 40 40	0	13	109 119 61-	102-		H= 1 L= 9	
5	25 19 15-	11	110	53 55 52-	17-	13	91 98 C	98	4	33 37 10-	36	1 1	45 47 46-	6
6	103 94 57	74-	111	84 94 31	69	4	91 100 100-	0	5	27 19 19-	1-	7	33 39 10	38-
7	28 20 5-	19	113	38 33 22-	24	5	47 51 0	51-	1 7	22 28 24-	11-	1 °	Ha 2 1 a 9	
					•••	1 .			1 °		•••			~
	37 34 23-		1 .	- 2 L• 3		2			Ι.	n= 2 L= 7	- 1		30 36 25-	26
9	83 75 73-	20	0	86 90 0	90-	7	21 22 0	22	1 1	*3 44 43	7		H= 3 L= 9	
10	91 88 5-	88	1	20 20 1-	20	9	70 65 0	68-	1 3	70 66 42	51	1	26• 28 22	17
13	26 24 9	24-	2	106 111 89	66		H= 1 L= 6		4	34 27 23	14-		H= 4 L= 9	
14	14 14 12	12-	15	157 150 144		0	105 100 100	0	l ś.	27 20 7-	19	1.	31 28 29	٩.
			12	40 41 11	- ii- 1	Ĩ	45 44 7	44	1 5		11	• •		
-			1 2		74-	1 1	77 78 (*	-0	1 1		21	L .		
0	96 105 105-	0	15	21 23 23	0	3	10 13 6	11-		H# 3 L# 7		1	34 9 1	8-
1	81 75 19-	73	6	19 13 13-	3	4	115 114 114-	4	0	37 37 0	37-		H# 0 L+10	
2	52 50 49	11-	1 7	34 30 24	17	15	59 60 59	10	1 1	11 17 11	8-	0	40 39 39	2
	36 29 29	4-	I Á	30 25 9	23	1 6	27 25 14-	20	1 5	66 69 27	A3	l i	37 47 0	42a
1	16 20 10		1 .	27 21 14	16	1 7	20 10	1.0	15	20. 11 -	11	•		
2	35 20 19	21-	1.2	41 41 12	12	1 %	10 11	10						
5	42 36 22	28-	110	63 55 23-	49-	9	87 82 79-	20	4	57 62 61-	6-	0	81+ BJ 83	0
6	25 20 10-	17	111	63 66 61	26-	•	H= 2 L= 6		6	37 37 20-	31-	1	ZZ 23 23-	0
7	23 16 16	0	112	40 32 6-	31	0	127 122 122-	0	1	57 61 3	61		H= 5 L=10	
Ŕ	19. 5 0	Ś	1	He 3 Le 5		l i	30 27 20-	18	1	H= 4 L= 7	-	1	17 . 3 2-	1-
ă	59 57 64	16-	1 0	76 78 7	78	1.2	A1 A2 1-	A2				•		•
7	JU JI 37"	40-					i-							

Determination and refinement of the structure

The three-dimensional Patterson function could not be readily interpreted owing to poor resolution, and was therefore recalculated with point-atom sharpening and the origin peak removed, using the formula suggested by Buerger (1959) with scale and temperature factors evaluated by Wilson statistics. The distribution of vectors in this synthesis provided sufficient information to determine the conformation of the molecules and to locate them relative to the screw axes. Structure factors were calculated for the derived model, and the conventional agreement index R was 0.45 for reflections with sin $\theta < 0.4$. The large positional errors in the trial model were first corrected by five cycles of structure factor and Fourier synthesis calculations, limiting the input data in the first two cycles to reflections with $\sin \theta < 0.5$. With a uniform isotropic temperature factor of 3.5 Å², the agreement was then 0.22. Further refinement by differential Fourier synthesis (Shiono, 1963) with one isotropic cycle and two anisotropic cycles reduced R to 0.15.

The final refinement of the 163 parameters, including a single scale factor, was by full-matrix least-squares (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962). The quantity minimized was $\Sigma w (F_o - KF_c)^2$, where w was estimated by the Hughes weighting scheme with a value of 6 for $4F_0$ min.

Of the 762 reflections recorded, 59 of low reliability were omitted in addition to those below the threshold value. The positional parameters for the hydrogen atoms in this calculation were fixed by assuming a C-H bond of 1 Å in the direction opposite to that of the ring diagonal from the carbon atom to which it was bonded. The thermal parameters were taken to be those of the adjacent carbon atom. The scattering factors of Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry & Veenendaal (1955) were used for the carbon and oxygen atoms, and those of McWeeny (1951) for the hydrogen atoms. For the 703 reflections included in the refinement, the final agreement index was 0.074 and the weighted index was 0.107. With all 863 observed and unobserved reflections, the agreement index was 0.10. The structure factors are listed in Table 1. The atomic parameters and their estimated standard deviations are given in Table 2. The key to the atomic numbering is shown in Fig.1.

Discussion

The molecule consists of two nearly planar phenylcarboxyl groups associated by a peroxide bond. The phenyl groups lie in planes that intersect at 84° along a line nearly parallel with the peroxide bond (Fig.2), and in each ring the atoms are coplanar to within

	Fractional coordinates $\times 10^4$ e.s.d. $\times 10^4$			Anis	Anisotropic temperature coefficients [*] $\times 10^2$ e.s.d. $\times 10^2$ (Å ²)					
	x/a	у/b	z/c	B_{11}	B ₂₂	B ₃₃	B_{12}	B ₁₃	B ₂₃	
C(1)	1227	- 2761	3772	264	175	417	-31	10	26	
C(2)	2133	- 2311	4703	310	180	340	21	-47	44	
C(3)	2279	- 1350	4749	341	195	299	- 92	-97	-5	
C(4)	1472	- 828	3788	19 262	168	20 196	14 26	-23	15 30	
C(5)	10 537	6 	9 2808	15 266	11 208	14 301	11 6	$ 18 \\ -31 $	11 19	
C(6)	12 460	7 - 2231	12 2808	15 301	13 184	17 341	13 -3	19 	15 - 55	
C(7)	12 1568	6 209	12 3695	17 240	14 167	20 252	14 7	21 66	15 - 12	
C(8)	10 3585	6 1893	11 3937	15 249	11 176	16 301	11 5	19 	14 - 5	
C(9)	11 4565	6 4339	11 2917	16 314	12 243	18 415	$^{12}_{-32}$	20 11	13 8	
C(10)	13 4507	8 3370	15 2982	20 311	19 169	22 402	17 - 32	26 46	19 16	
C(11)	12 3585	6 2921	14 3918	18 197	13 187	22 287	13 10	$\frac{24}{-18}$	15	
C(12)	9 2664	6 3453	10 4844	13 281	12 283	18 320	10 - 10	18 	13 41	
C(13)	12 2767	8 4427	13 4741	18 393	19 179	20 405	15 7	22 25	16 	
C(14)	14 3654	7 4849	15 3789	24 280	15 165	24 422	16 - 18	28 	15	
O(15)	12 2454	6 535	13 4780	17 442	12 123	24 346	14 - 67	25 	16	
O(16)	9	4 698	2868	16	8	14 341	10	17	8	
O(17)	8 2444	4	8 4750	11	10	13	9	13	10	
O(18)	9 4394	4	9 3280	16	11	14	10	17	-25 10	
0(10)	8	5	10	11	10	18	10	16	- 55	
H(1) H(2) H(3) H(5) H(6)	1139 2701 2937 - 33 - 195	- 3457 - 2677 - 1033 - 919 - 2549	3774 5378 5459 2140 2105	* In t	he form	n <i>f</i> ° exp [$-\frac{1}{4}(B_{11}h^2)$	$a^{*2}+\ldots$	$.+2B_{23}klb^*c^*)].$	
H(9) H(10) H(12)	5253 5136 1985	4660 2993 3131	2219 2342 5534							
H(13) H(14)	2138 3682	4804 5543	5374 3743							

Table 2. The atomic parameters



Fig.1. Some interatomic distances and angles in dibenzoyl peroxide.

 $\frac{1}{2}\sigma$ (0.01 Å). Neither the O(16)C(7)O(15)O(17) nor the O(18)C(8)O(17)O(15) group is planar, but they exhibit a slight torsional displacement of 2.5 and 4.2° respectively about the C(7)–O(15) and C(8)–O(17) bonds (Table 3). In o-nitroperoxybenzoic acid, the corresponding displacement is 5°. The equations to some of the atomic planes in the molecule are given in Table 3, together with the angles subtended at their lines of intersection. The most interesting of these is the dihedral angle of 91° at the peroxide bond. Although this value agrees with the theoretical estimate of the angle in the isolated molecule, the question of the extent to which it may have been perturbed by the lattice forces cannot be ascertained from a single analysis alone. Supporting evidence that this perturbation is small is provided by the work of Caticha-Ellis & Abrahams (1965), who have found a dihedral angle of approximately 90° in 4,4'-dichlorodibenzoyl peroxide, although the molecular packing in the two crystal structures is different. The conformation of these two molecules also differs at single bonds other than the peroxide bond owing to variations in certain other dihedral angles. However, structure analyses of molecular peroxides of more diverse geometry are needed to determine how sensitive is the dihedral angle to molecular environment. The molecular packing, the dihedral angle at the peroxide bond and the two dihedral angles between the phenyl groups and the carboxyl groups to which they are bonded are shown in Fig.2. The closest contacts between molecules are the expected van der Waals interactions. In view of the mode of molecular packing and qualitative observations on the distribution of the X-ray diffuse scattering at different temperatures, the suggestion is made that this



Fig. 2. The (010) projection of dibenzoyl peroxide showing the molecular packing, the dihedral angle at the peroxide bond, and the angle of torsion at the single bonds between the phenyl and carboxy groups.

crystal may contain both D and L molecules and is composed of micro-domains, each containing molecules of the same sense and separated by stacking faults. The enantiomorphism of organic peroxides has been discussed recently (Jeffrey, 1964).

The bond lengths and valence angles with their standard deviations are shown in Fig.1, where the σ 's are 0.02 Å in the bond lengths and 2.0° in the valence angles. The C-C distances in the benzene rings vary from 1.34 to 1.42 Å, but do not differ by more than 3σ from the mean of 1.379 Å. The C–C distances external to the ring are 1.49 and 1.47 Å. The C = O distances are 1.18 and 1.19 Å, the C–O distances are 1.38 and 1.37 Å and the O-O distance is 1.46 Å. The angles in the benzene rings average 119.4°. The three angles at carboxyl carbon atom (7) are 109, 127 and 124°, and the corresponding angles at atom (8) are 111, 127 and 122°. The average C-O-O angle is 110°, implying that the bonding orbitals on the oxygen atoms are sp³ hybrids. Within the accuracy of the analysis these values are in agreement with comparable parameters reported for o-nitroperoxybenzoic acid. The rather large standard deviations in the parameters are a consequence of the cut-off in the data, which, in view of the comparatively high melting point, is only partially due to thermal motion. Since some preliminary calculations indicated that the rigid-body approximation was not applicable to this molecule, no correction to the bond lengths for the thermal motion was attempted. However, the directions and magnitudes of the principal axes of the apparent vibration ellipsoids of the atoms as given by the least-squares refinement are shown in Table 4.

The dihedral angle in covalent peroxides

The Penney-Sutherland discussion of the dihedral angle in the peroxide groups is limited specifically to the H_2O_2 molecule and makes the assumption that the oxygen 2s orbital is not used in bonding. A more general theoretical treatment is needed as a basis for discussing the other covalent peroxide structures. Essentially this must show how the exchange repulsion energy changes in its dependence upon the dihedral angle as the chemical nature of the substituent atoms on the peroxide group is varied. For this purpose the exchange integrals between the electrons in filled orbitals must be evaluated as functions of the dihedral angle and the bonding parameters. If, for simplicity, the overlap rather than the exchange integrals is used in the evaluation, comparative estimates of the configurational energy of the molecule can be deduced, the stable configurations being those for which the overlapping is a minimum. It is the latter method which is followed here.

In these calculations, the bonding electrons of oxygen are assumed to be in sp^3 orbitals, whereas the lone-pair electrons are assigned to sp and p orbitals. In order to define the dihedral angle θ , the orbital directions of oxygen atom 1 are fixed while those of atom 2 are rotated about the O-O bond, where $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ is taken to be the *cis* conformation. The x axis is chosen to coincide with the peroxide bond with the positive sense from O(1) to O(2). The subscript on ψ designates the oxygen atom; the Roman numerals (I) and (II) indicate atomic orbitals used respectively in the peroxide bond and in the bond to the substituent atom; numerals (III) and (IV) indicate orbitals of the lone-pairs.

$$\psi_{1}(I) = \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}p_{x}$$

$$\psi_{1}(II) = \frac{1}{2}s - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}p_{x} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}p_{y}$$

$$\psi_{1}(III) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}p_{y}$$

$$\psi_{1}(IV) = p_{z}$$

Table 3. S	Some planes of	atoms in diben	zoyl peroxide	
Atomic planes	Ċ	Coefficients in A	X + BY + CZ + L	0 = 0
	A	В	С	D
Phenyl C(1) to (6)	0.7603	-0.0531	-0.6474	-1.244
Phenyl C(9) to (14)	0.7285	0.0096	0.6849	4.923
C(7), O(15), O(17)	0.7905	-0.0065	-0.6124	-1.024
O(15), O(17), C(8)	0.5934	0.0192	0.8047	4.951
C(7), O(15), O(16)	0.8007	-0.0560	-0.5973	-0.96876
C(8), O(17), O(18)	0.6135	-0.0498	0.7881	4.768
I	Dihedral angles i	n dibenzoyl pero	oxide	
	Planes		Dihedra	l angle

Planes	Dihedral ang
C(7)-O(15)-O(17) and C(8)-O(15)-O(17)	91°22′
Phenyl group $[C(1) \text{ to } C(6)]$ and $O(15)-C(7)-O(16)$	3 42
Phenyl group [C(9) to C(14)] and $O(17)-C(8)-O(18)$	9 30
C(7)-O(15)-O(17) and $C(7)-O(15)-O(16)$	2 26
C(8)-O(17)-O(18) and $C(8)-O(15)-O(17)$	4 14

Table 4. R.M.S. displacements and directions of principal axes of vibration*

C(1)	$U(i) \times 10^2$ 22 Å 29 36	θ(i,a) 74° 163 86	θ(i,b) 17° 74 97	θ(i, c) 85° 84 8	C(10)	$U(i) \times 10^2$ 22 30 35	θ(i, a) 77 157 71	θ(i,b) 14 76 89	θ(i, c) 96 72 19
C(2)	22 30 33	102 148 120	20 108 82	106 116 31	C (11)	23 25 30	123 146 99	34 124 87	97 96 9
C(3)	21 29 36	61 109 36	33 63 109	75 146 119	C(12)	26 30 33	50 53 118	60 143 110	55 89 36
C(4)	21 25 28	101 78 16	32 117 74	120 150 85	C(13)	22 34 36	91 155 65	16 98 104	74 66 29
C(5)	25 28 31	92 154 116	11 87 100	80 116 29	C(14)	22 25 39	91 150 120	9 96 83	99 119 31
C(6)	22 30 33	88 171 99	18 85 107	72 97 19	O(15)	18 32 37	79 106 20	11 87 101	90 164 106
C (7)	22 24 30	106 134 48	23 113 92	74 53 42	O(16)	23 29 33	99 168 97	24 101 70	111 93 22
C(8)	23 26 31	93 153 117	3 92 93	89 117 27	O(17)	23 30 36	76 60 34	14 95 104	87 149 60
C(9)	26 31 35	69 159 86	21 69 88	93 87 5	O(18)	23 29 39	105 161 79	17 106 95	82 80 13

* The r.m.s. displacement U(i) is directed along the *i*th axis of the ellipsoid, where $\theta(i, a)$, $\theta(i, b)$ and $\theta(i, c)$ are angles between the *i*th axis and crystallographic axes *a*, *b*, *c*.

$$\psi_{2}(\mathbf{I}) = \frac{1}{2}s - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}p_{x}$$

$$\psi_{2}(\mathbf{II}) = \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}p_{x} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(p_{z}\sin\theta + p_{y}\cos\theta)$$

$$\psi_2(\text{III}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(p_z\sin\theta + p_y\cos\theta)$$
$$\psi_2(\text{IV}) = p_z\cos\theta - p_y\sin\theta$$

The localized m.o.'s for the σ bonds are

$$\psi(O-O) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi_1(I) + \psi_2(I)]$$

$$\psi[O(1) - R(1)] = \lambda_1 \psi_1(II) + (1 - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi[R(1)]$$

$$\psi[O(2) - R(2)] = \lambda_2 \psi_2(II) + (1 - \lambda_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi[R(2)]$$

where R(1) and R(2) are atoms bonded to O(1) and O(2) respectively. The values for λ are estimated from bond moments (Coulson, 1952). The other bonding parameters λ_{π} are estimated from bond orders (Pauling, 1960) and are defined by the relation

$$\lambda_{\pi}^2 = C_1^2 + \ldots + C_n^2$$

where the C_i^2 are the coefficients of $\psi(IV)$ in the filled orbitals of a π electron system involving oxygen and a substituent group. The overlap integrals can now be expressed as functions of the dihedral angle, the bonding parameters, and overlap integrals between the atomic basis functions, as in the integral

$$\langle \lambda_1 \psi_1(\mathrm{II}) | \lambda_{\pi_2} | \psi_2(\mathrm{IV}) \rangle = -\lambda_1 \lambda_{\pi_2} \langle p_{1y} p_{2y} \rangle \sin \theta$$
.

The values used for these integrals are listed in Table 5 and are taken from tables of normalized Slater A-O overlap integrals by Mulliken, Rieke, Orloff & Orloff (1949). Overlap between non-bonded atoms is neglected. The sum of the squares of the θ -dependent overlap integrals are then examined for minima and these are expressible as functions of the λ 's.*

Table 5. Overlap integrals between peroxide oxygen atoms separated by 1.48 Å

Atomic orbitals	S_{ij}
$2s\sigma$, $2s\sigma$	0.157
$2s\sigma, 2p\sigma$	0.193
$2p\sigma, 2p\sigma$	0.22
2pπ, 2pπ	0.071

The sp^3 hybridization of the oxygen bonding orbitals increases the angle of minimum overlap over the value calculated by Penney & Sutherland assuming p bonding, as shown by the first entry in Table 6. The effect

* The sum of the squares of the θ -dependent overlap integrals is given by the expression

$$S^{2} = \sum_{X} \sum_{Y} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \langle \psi_{i}(X)\psi_{j}(Y) \rangle^{2}\lambda^{2}\alpha_{i}\lambda^{2}\beta_{j},$$

where *i* and *j* assume the values 1 and 2; X and Y assume values II to IV; and either $\lambda \alpha$ or $\lambda \beta$ can be $\lambda_{\pi} \lambda_{\Sigma}$ or 1 depending upon the wave functions in the overlap integral.

of altering the electronegativity of the substituent atom in the absence of either π bonding or interfering steric groups is illustrated by the first four entries of Table 6. If the substituent is more electronegative than oxygen, the angle decreases and moves closer to 90°, because the centroid of charge distribution in the σ bond is shifted away from the oxygen atom which diminishes the magnitudes of the overlap integrals that contain this σ bonding orbital. These are the overlap integrals that are sensitive to sp³ hybridization of the bonding orbital and as they are necessarily decreased, the effectiveness of hybridization for increasing the angle is reduced. As the last three entries in the table show, the largest effect on the angle is produced when the lone pair electrons engage in π bonding with a substituent group, which obviously lowers the overlap between the lone pair p electrons.

Table 6. The dihedral angle of minimum overlap and its dependence upon the chemical bonding parameters λ_{Σ} , λ_{π_1} and λ_{π_2}

		1	·•2		
θ_0	$\lambda \Sigma^2$	λ_{π^2}	$\lambda_{\pi^2_2}$	$\mu_{bond}*$	Type O-R
92° 37′	0.2	1	1	0	Non-polar
92 44	0.55	1	1	0.7	0-C
93 08	0.62	1	1	1.5	0-н
92 34	0.47	1	1	0.4	F-O
95 22	0.20	0.83	1	0	
101	0.20	0.83	0.83	0	
93 50	0.55	0.95	0.95	0.7	(0 - C = 0)
					` Ø ´

* Bond moments of the Σ bonds in Debye units. The O-F moment was calculated from electronegativities by the method of Pauling (1960). The others were taken from Table 10-7 of Barrow (1961). In this calculation λ_{Σ} was assumed to be the same for both substituents and to apply to symmetrical peroxides such as ROOR, with the exception of the fifth case which refers to an unsymmetrical peroxide where one of the oxygen atoms is involved in π bonding.

These results permit a qualitative estimate to be made of the relative magnitudes of the dihedral angles in different covalent peroxides, if it is possible in addition to predict the relative effect of the electrostatic, van der Waals, and steric interactions upon the angle. As an example, a larger angle would be estimated for H_2O_2 than for F_2O_2 because (1) from the preceding discussion the more electronegative F leads to an angle closer to 90° ; (2) the electrostatic repulsion between the OH bonds is greater than in the case of OF bonds; (3) π bonding between the substituents and the peroxide group is not a factor; and (4) steric effects are negligible at the interatomic distances between the substituents at values of the angle being considered. In F₂O₂ the angle is 87°30' (Jackson, 1962). These results emphasize the potential importance of the electrochemical nature of the substituent groups upon the stereochemistry of the covalent peroxides. In particular the last entry in the table refers to a dicarboxylic substituent on the peroxide moiety as for example in dibenzoyl peroxide and predicts a dihedral angle near 94°.

The authors express their thanks to Professor G.A. Jeffrey for his interest in this work. This research was carried out under Contract No. 12-14-100-5777(73) with the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

References

- BARROW, G. M. (1961). *Physical Chemistry*, p.302. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- BELITSKUS, D. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 458.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOPSTRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BUERGER, M. J. (1959). Vector Space, p. 62. New York: John Wiley.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS, A Fortran Crystallographic Least Squares Program. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Report ORNL-TM-305.
- COULSON, C. A. (1952). Valence. London: Oxford Univ. Press.

- CATICHA-ELLIS, S. & ABRAHAMS, S. C. (1965). Private communication.
- HUNT, R. H., LEACOCK, R. A., PETERS, C. W. & HECHT, K. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 1931.
- JACKSON, R. H. (1962). J. Chem. Soc. p. 4585.
- JEFFREY, G. A., MCMULLAN, R. K. & SAX, M. (1964). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 949.
- MCWEENY, R. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 513.
- MULLIKEN, R. S., RIEKE, C. A., ORLOFF, D. & ORLOFF, H. (1949). J. Chem. Phys. 17, 1248.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. p. 98. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- PEDERSEN, B. F. & PEDERSEN, B. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A75.
- PENNEY, W. G. & SUTHERLAND, G. B. B. M. (1934). Trans. Faraday Soc. 3, (2), 898.
- ROLLETT, J. S. & SPARKS, R. A. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 273.
- SAX, M., BEURSKENS, P. & CHU, S. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 252.
- SHIONO, R. (1963). Technical Report No. 42, Univ. of Pittsburgh Computation and Data Processing Center.

Acta Cryst. (1967). 22, 289

Structure des Deux Formes Cristallines de l'Acide Azélaïque, COOH[CH₂]₇COOH

PAR JACQUES HOUSTY ET MICHEL HOSPITAL

Laboratoire de Cristallographie, Faculté des Sciences de Bordeaux, Talence, France

(Reçu le 4 juillet 1966)

The structure of the two polymorphic modifications of azelaic acid has been determinated by leastsquares refinement on three-dimensional data. Bond lengths and angles are calculated and discussed. A study of the bond distances and angles indicated that the two carboxylic groups of the same molecule are very different.

Les diacides aliphatiques saturés à chaine normale et à nombre impair d'atomes de carbone présentent un dimorphisme avec un point de transition situé entre 70 et 90°C (Caspari, 1928–1929; Dupré La Tour, 1932). On obtient des plaquettes de la forme β par évaporation lente à température ordinaire d'une solution d'acide azélaïque dans l'acétone. Par clivage on peut avoir des prismes allongés suivant la direction [010]. Nous avons employé la technique de Bridgman pour cristalliser, à partir du produit fondu, de fines aiguilles cylindriques de forme α , allongées suivant [001]. On a pu facilement réaliser tous les clichés de diffraction de cette forme, sa stabilité étant suffisante à température ordinaire.

Données cristallographiques

Maille et groupe spatial

Tous les clichés ont été réalisés avec la radiation $K\alpha$ du cuivre. Nous avons rapporté dans le Tableau 1 les données cristallographiques caractéristiques des deux formes:

Tableau	1.	Données	cristallo	graphiques	des	deux	formes
		do	Pacido	azólaïano			

		ue i uciue uzeiuique	
Maille		Forme α $a = 5,67 \pm 0,01 \text{ Å}$ $b = 9,61 \pm 0,02$ $c = 27,39 \pm 0,05$ $\beta = 136^{\circ} 50'$	Forme β $a = 22,75 \pm 0,02$ Å $b = 4,78 \pm 0,01$ $c = 9,83 \pm 0,02$ $\beta = 111^{\circ}50'$
	Densité mesurée calculée	1,235 g.cm ⁻³ 1,255	1,245 g.cm ⁻³ 1,260
	Ζ	4	4
	Groupe spatial	$P2_{1}/c$	C2/c

Mesure des intensités

Pour la forme α , les intensités des taches de diffraction sont obtenues directement par comptage à l'aide d'un diffractomètre automatique à monocristal.

Pour la forme β , nous avons employé une méthode de comparaison visuelle des taches de diffraction obtenues sur un rétigraphe avec les taches d'une échelle d'intensité.